DAVE KERT

STATE OF CALI	FORNIA
COMMENT	<b>CARD</b>

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

NAME (please print)
NAME (please print) DAVE KERT
PARE VEKI
ADDRESS (home) CITY / STATE ZIP CODE
ADDRESS (home) 3341-H Cimmarron Road, Cameron Park CA 45682
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE (name of organization or agency) ADDRESS (business)
SELF
SELI.
COMMENTS /
& Suggest EDGS ARCES T. THE PANCED
THE EXCHANGE HAS BEEN WELL DESIGNED & WILL PROVIDE
Aug Cymra lag
THE EXCHANGE HAS BEEN WELL DESTENCED & WILL PROVIDE
WELL REGULATED TRAFFIC ALCES WHILE MAINTAINING
William William William
distribution days and Both
UNINTERUPTED TRAFFIC FLOW IN BOTH DIRECTIONS ON
12.64
H164WAY 50-
Completing and signing this document is voluntary. The Department of Transportation may use this information
for statistical purposes, to notify you of any future hearings, or to assist in providing you with further information.
This document is a public record and may be subject to inspection and copying by other members of the public.
For more comments use reverse side

#### **Dave Kert**

3341-H Cimmarron Rd., Cameron Park, CA 95682

I support free access to the Rancheria, the exchange has been well designed and will provide well regulated traffic access while maintaining uninterrupted traffic flow in both directions on Highway 50.

# **Response:**

The commenter supports free access to the Rancheria. The comment is noted.

NO NAME GIVEN

### FRONT:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COMMENT CARD		DEPARTMEN	T OF TRANSPORTATION
NAME (please print)			
ADDRESS (home)	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE	(name of organization or agency) ADDI	RESS (business)	
COMMENTS / 1 ) f	Than Mard		~un)
on M	ussion He	at & e	ts
neight	zors.		
No	& an ey	sare	for
others of	a come to	a peru	iarl
for statistical purposes, to notify you of any	oluntary. The Department of Transportation may use the future hearings, or to assist in providing you with furthose subject to inspection and copying by other members.	er information. s of the public.	mments use reverse side
BACK:			
	······································		

Causing more pollution!

#### No name and address

Caltrans needs to focus on Mission Flat and its neighbors. Not an eyesore for others to come to a rural areas and loss \$ causing more pollution.

## **Response:**

The commenter states that "Caltrans needs to focus on Missouri Flat and its neighbors." Also belives the Interchange Project will be an "eyesore" and will cause more pollution. The comments are noted. Both the 2002 EIR and the supplemental EIR discusses the potential air quality impacts of the Interchange Project and the 2002 EIR discusses the potential aesthetic impacts.

MARIAN SOLDANO

### **FRONT:**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMENT CARD

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION** 

MARIAN SOLDANO
ADDRÉSS (home) 6721 SETTLERS TRAIL SHYNGLE SPGS CA 95682-8022
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE (name of organization or agency) ADDRESS (business)
COMMENTS I AM FOR THE PROPOSED ACTION NOT THE 2 SMALLER ALTERNATIVES,
THE EAST BOUND EXIT RAMP IS AMPLE TO
HAND LE THE TRAFFIC INTO THE BROJECT AREA.
THIS PROJECT HAS GONE ON FOR TOO MANY YEARS COURTS HAVE APROYED, TIME TO START CONSTRUCTION
THE PEOPLE FROM THE RANCHERIA HAVE BEEN TREATED
Completing and signing this document is voluntary. The Department of Transportation may use this information tor statistical purposes, to notify you of any future hearings, or to assist in providing you with further information.  This document is a public record and may be subject to inspection and copying by other members of the public.
For more comments use reverse side

### **BACK:**

D'infor proposed de sis, LET THE PROTECT PROCEED,

#### Marian Soldano

6721 Settlers Trail, Shingle Springs, CA 95682-8022

I am for the proposed action not the 2 smaller alternatives. The east bound exit ramp is ample to handle the traffic to the project area. This project has gone on for too many years. Courts have approved, time to start construction. The people from the Rancheria have been treated unfairly for many years. I'm for proposed as is. LET THE PROJECT PROCEED.

## **Response:**

The commenter supports the Interchange Project and the hotel/casino. The comment is noted.

CHRYSAN DOS

#### FRONT:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COMMENT CARD

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

NAME (please print)
Chrysan Dosh
ADDRESS (home)  STATE ZIP CODE  3581 Coranduren & Shengle Spring (A 9568)  ALITHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE (name of organization or agency) ADDRESS (brisiness)
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE (name of organization or agency) ADDRESS (business)
COMMENTS
COMMENTS
Casino project does not comple with
Skate air quality levels for ozono
Smaller Casino project proposed is only
an initial effort to get approval-Since Trube
is a sovereeg - nation, after the interchange
to but they well expand the project
Completing and signing this document is voluntary. The Department of Transportation may use this information
for statistical purposes, to notify you of any future hearings, or to assist in providing you with further information.
This document is a public record and may be subject to inspection and copying by other members of the public.
For more comments use reverse side

#### **BACK:**

Note is a begancer from

ramp traffic 
why such a huge interchange

proper being proposed for

a smaller casein propers!

The original interchange ramp

is the pame as the one

proposed for the large propers.

#### **Chrysan Dosh**

3581 Grandview Cr., Shingle Springs, CA 95682

Casino project does not comply with state air quality levels for ozone. Smaller casino project proposed is only an initial effort to get approval. Since, "tribe" is a sovereign – nation, after the interchange is built they will expand the project to its original proposed size.

Noise is a big concern from ramp traffic- Why such a huge interchange project being proposed for a smaller casino project? The original interchange ramp is the same as the one proposed for the larger project.

### **Response:**

The commenter comments that the Interchange Project does not comply with the State ozone standards. As explained in Response2-6, above, the Court of appeal held that the State ozone standards are not at issue in this case. The commenter also is concerned about noise from traffic. Noise impacts are beyond the scope of the Supplemental EIR, but are addressed in the 2002 Final EIR at Section 5.6.